



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,002	12/12/2000	Michael D. Bullock	Y00-044	3382
7:	590 04/02/2003			
Law Offices of K. W. Float			EXAMINER	
Box 80790 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688-0790			DUONG, THANH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>L</b>	-I/V
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	v
Advisory Action	09/735,002	BULLOCK ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tom P Duong	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the c ver sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection	٦.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final O	priate extension  Iffice action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the per R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consections	idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)∏ will be entered an ow or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: as stated in the Final Rejection.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		/
10. Other:	, -	ant	ull
		Paul T.: Supervisory Pa Group	tent Examine

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Smith '415 in view of Hawkins, Sr. '228 suggests and/or teaches the claimed invention as stated in the final office action. With respect to "single, solid, and unitary body", it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to either fabricate the front surface with a relatively small, centrally-located, and flat sweet spot as an attachment or as an integral piece of the practice putter head, since it has been held that forming one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893) and Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.